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Diversity and Partitioning of Bacterial Populations within the Accessory Nidamental Gland of the Squid *Euprymna scolopes*
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Microbial consortia confer important benefits to animal and plant hosts, and model associations are necessary to examine these types of host/microbe interactions. The accessory nidamental gland (ANG) is a female reproductive organ found among cephalopod mollusks that contains a consortium of bacteria, the exact function of which is unknown. To begin to understand the role of this organ, the bacterial consortium was characterized in the Hawaiian bobtail squid, *Euprymna scolopes*, a well-studied model organism for symbiosis research. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis of the ANG revealed dense bacterial assemblages of rod- and coccus-shaped cells segregated by morphology into separate, epithelium-lined tubules. The host epithelium was morphologically heterogeneous, containing ciliated and nonciliated cells with various brush border thicknesses. Hemocytes of the host’s innate immune system were also found in close proximity to the bacteria within the tubules. A census of 16S rRNA genes suggested that *Rhodobacterales*, *Rhizobiales*, and *Verrucomicrobia* bacteria were prevalent, with members of the genus *Phaeobacter* dominating the consortium. Analysis of 454-shotgun sequencing data confirmed the presence of members of these taxa and revealed members of a fourth, *Flavobacteria* of the *Bacteroidetes* phylum. 16S rRNA fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (FISH) revealed that many ANG tubules were dominated by members of specific taxa, namely, *Rhodobacterales*, *Verrucomicrobia*, or *Cytophaga-Flavobacteria-Bacteroidetes*, suggesting symbiont partitioning to specific host tubules. In addition, FISH revealed that bacteria, including *Phaeobacter* species from the ANG, are likely deposited into the jelly coat of freshly laid eggs. This report establishes the ANG of the invertebrate *E. scolopes* as a model to examine interactions between a bacterial consortium and its host.

Many aquatic and marine invertebrates, including some cephalopods (squid, octopuses, and cuttlefish), lay their eggs in clutches or masses on benthic substrates, where they take weeks or even months to develop before hatching (2, 5, 12, 33). During this time, the developing embryos are unprotected, and prior observations suggest these egg clutches resist predation and/or fouling by microorganisms, although clear mechanisms for this resistance have yet to be described. Sexually mature females of some species have an accessory nidamental gland (ANG), a reproductive organ that houses a dense consortium of bacteria in pigmented epithelium-lined tubes and is attached to the nidamental gland (NG), the organ that secretes the jelly coat surrounding fertilized eggs (6). Culture-dependent and -independent methods have identified the dominant members of these microbial communities for some squid species (3, 6, 25, 39). All squid ANGs examined to date are dominated by alphaproteobacteria, usually members of the *Roseobacter* clade within the *Rhodobacterales* (6, 16, 39) with additional members belonging to the *Gammaproteobacteria* (vibrios, pseudomonads, and pseudomonads) and the *Bacteroidetes*. Similar taxonomic groups were also found in the egg casings of the squid *Loligo pealei*, suggesting that the ANG serves to inoculate the egg clutches with a bacterial population (6). Although the exact role of these consortia has not been determined, those past studies suggest a symbiotic relationship between these bacteria and their hosts that should be investigated further.

In this study, we examined the accessory nidamental gland of the Hawaiian bobtail squid, *Euprymna scolopes* (Fig. 1). The symbiosis between *E. scolopes* and the bioluminescent bacterium *Vibrio fischeri* is used as a model system to study the effects of beneficial bacteria on the development of animal host tissues (26, 29, 30, 35). Adult *E. scolopes* squid can easily be collected and bred in the laboratory and are readily accessible to use as experimental animals to research host/microbe interactions. In addition, its responses, i.e., biochemical, cellular, genetic, and developmental, to bacterial colonization are the best characterized for any cephalopod species.

In order to better understand the role of the ANG consortium in the biology of *E. scolopes*, the host and bacterial cell morphologies as well as the microbial diversity were characterized using transmission electron microscopy (TEM), 16S ribosomal sequence analysis, restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis, fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (FISH), and high-throughput 454 metagenomic sequencing. Here we report the initial characterization of the ANG microbiota for the model host, *E. scolopes*. This study is the first to use high-throughput sequencing to characterize the bacteria in any accessory nidamental gland. More importantly, it sets the foundation for exploration of a bacterial consortium in the same host as has already been used to research a well-studied monospecific symbiosis.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animal maintenance.** Adult animals were collected from shallow sand flats off Oahu, HI, by dip net and maintained in 42-liter recirculating...
**TABLE 1** Primers and FISH probes used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer, probe, or target organism category</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Hybridization buffer % formamide (probes only)</th>
<th>Reference or source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primer</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eubacterial</td>
<td>27F</td>
<td>AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Lane (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1406R</td>
<td>ACGGGGCGGTGTGTRCAA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lane (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FISH probes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eubacterial (universal)</td>
<td>Eub338I</td>
<td>GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Amann (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eub338III</td>
<td>GCTGCCACCCGTAGGTGT</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Daims et al. (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roseobacter</td>
<td>G Rb</td>
<td>GTCAGTAGCTGAGCCGCTGAG</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Giuliano et al. (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteroides (Cytophaga-Flavobacteria)</td>
<td>CF319</td>
<td>TGGTCCGCTGCTCAGTAT</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Manz et al. (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verrucomicrobia</td>
<td>Verruo_193</td>
<td>CGGACATACAGGCTTGTAGT</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phaeobacter</td>
<td>Phaeo_126</td>
<td>TGGCTATTTTATAGAAAAGGGCA</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha proteobacteria</td>
<td>Alph_968</td>
<td>GTGAAATTTCTCGGCGGT</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Neef (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eubacteria (negative control)</td>
<td>NonEub338</td>
<td>ACTCTACGGGGAGGCAGC</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Wallner et al. (49)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Hybridization buffer at 4°C. The homogenate was spun for 10 min at 5,000 × g at 4°C. To remove solubilized host tissues, the supernatant was removed and the pellet was repeatedly washed (at least three times) with squid Ringer’s solution until the protein concentration of the supernatant was sufficiently low (<0.5 mg/ml), as measured spectrophotometrically by A260 analysis. For 454 sequencing, total genomic DNA was extracted from the resulting pellet by the use of a DNA MasterPure kit (Epicentre, Madison, WI).

**Culturing bacteria from the ANG.** Frozen ANGs from three sexually mature ANGs were homogenized in squid Ringer’s solution, and the homogenate was serially diluted 10-fold and plated in triplicate onto R2A media (42) supplemented with 27 g of marine salts (Instant Ocean). Plates were incubated aerobically at 28°C for 3 days, and the resulting colonies were observed for pigmentation.

**Microscopy.** Immediately after dissection, ANGs were cut in half and fixed at room temperature in 2.0% paraformaldehyde–2.5% glutaraldehyde in buffer A (0.1 M sodium cacodylate, 0.375 M NaCl, 1.5 mM CaCl₂, and 1.5 mM MgCl₂, pH 7.4). After an initial 15-min fixation period, the tissue samples were cut into smaller pieces (~0.25 cm thick) and placed in fresh fixative for an additional 5 h at 4°C. Following fixation, tissue pieces were washed several times in cold buffer A and left at 4°C overnight. The following day, tissues were postfixed in a solution of 1% osmium tetroxide–0.8% potassium ferrocyanide–0.1 M sodium cacodylate–0.375 M NaCl for 1.5 h at 4°C and then washed in distilled water, dehydrated through an ascending ethanol series, cleared in 100% acetone, and embedded in an epoxy mixture of Embed 812 (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA) and Araldite 506 (Ernest Fulham Inc., Albany, NY).

**Semi-thin sections** were obtained with a glass knife using an LKB Ultramicrotome V and stained with methylene blue and azure II followed by counterstaining with basic fuchsin. Stained sections were viewed on an Axiosvert 200 M (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) microscope. Thin (80-nm) sections were obtained using a diamond knife on a LKB Ultramicrotome V followed by staining with 2% uranyl acetate and Reynolds’s lead citrate (43) and viewed with an FEI Tecnai Biotwin G2 Spirit electron microscope (Hillsboro, OR) operated at 80 kV.

**16S clone library construction and RFLP and sequencing analyses.** To examine the bacterial diversity in ANGs, total genomic DNA from the ANGs of five sexually mature females were used to make five separate 16S clone libraries. ANGI, ANGII, ANGIII, and ANGIV came from each of four females that were kept in our squid facility for 9, 14, 12, and 17 weeks, respectively. ANGV came from an individual that had been field caught and was maintained in our facility for 24 h. 16S genes were amplified using 27F eubacterial 16S primers (Table 1). PCR conditions were as follows: 95°C for 3 min, then 35 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 57°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 1 min, followed by a final elongation at 72°C for 10 min. PCR

**FIG 1** Anatomy of a female Euprymna scolopes and morphology of ANG isolates. (a) Ventral dissection of E. scolopes, showing the accessory nidamental gland (NG) located posterior to the light organ (LO) and in close proximity to the nidamental gland (NG). (b) Pigmented ducts in the NG converge at the ANG. (c) Magnification of the ANG reveals convoluted tubules, most of which are dark orange in pigmentation (white arrow), but others appear white (black arrow) or yellow (not shown). (d) Culturing of ANG symbionts results in many colonies with pigmentation similar to that of the ANG tubules (white arrows). Bars, 1 cm for panels a and d, 2.5 mm for panel b, and 1 mm for panel c.

- Dissection and DNA extraction. Female squid that had been main-
- Fixed at room temperature in 2.0% paraformaldehyde–2.5% glutaraldehyde in buffer A (0.1 M sodium cacodylate, 0.375 M NaCl, 1.5 mM CaCl₂, and 1.5 mM MgCl₂, pH 7.4). After an initial 15-min fixation period, the tissue samples were cut into smaller pieces (~0.25 cm thick) and placed in fresh fixative for an additional 5 h at 4°C. Following fixation, tissue pieces were washed several times in cold buffer A and left at 4°C overnight. The following day, tissues were postfixed in a solution of 1% osmium tetroxide–0.8% potassium ferrocyanide–0.1 M sodium cacodylate–0.375 M NaCl for 1.5 h at 4°C and then washed in distilled water, dehydrated through an ascending ethanol series, cleared in 100% acetone, and embedded in an epoxy mixture of Embed 812 (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA) and Araldite 506 (Ernest Fulham Inc., Albany, NY). Semi-thin (2-μm) sections were obtained with a glass knife using an LKB Ultramicrotome V and stained with methylene blue and azure II followed by counterstaining with basic fuchsin. Stained sections were viewed on an Axiosvert 200 M (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) microscope. Thin (80-nm) sections were obtained using a diamond knife on a LKB Ultramicrotome V followed by staining with 2% uranyl acetate and Reynolds’s lead citrate (43) and viewed with an FEI Tecnai Biotwin G2 Spirit electron microscope (Hillsboro, OR) operated at 80 kV.

- **16S clone library construction and RFLP and sequencing analyses.** To examine the bacterial diversity in ANGs, total genomic DNA from the ANGs of five sexually mature females were used to make five separate 16S clone libraries. ANGI, ANGII, ANGIII, and ANGIV came from each of four females that were kept in our squid facility for 9, 14, 12, and 17 weeks, respectively. ANGV came from an individual that had been field caught and was maintained in our facility for 24 h. 16S genes were amplified using 27F eubacterial 16S primers (Table 1). PCR conditions were as follows: 95°C for 3 min, then 35 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 57°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 90 s, followed by a final elongation at 72°C for 10 min. PCR
products were ligated and cloned using a PGEM-T Easy kit with JM109 cells (Promega, Madison WI). A total of 417 colonies were selected for restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis by incubating cloned genes with 10 U ofMspI restriction enzyme (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) at 37°C for 15 min. The resulting fragments were visualized on 1.5% agarose gels, and clones were grouped according to unique RFLP patterns. Representative clones from each group were sequenced using BigDye version 1.1 (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer’s specifications. Any clone that could not be grouped with an RFLP pattern was also sequenced. The 16S rRNA genes from 25, 45, and 27 clones from ANGI, ANGII, and ANGIII, respectively, were fully sequenced to confirm the accuracy of the restriction digest grouping. Sequences were analyzed with the Bellerophon chimera algorithm. Operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were assigned to each sequence based on highest percent identity. Sequences from the Verrucomicrobia isolates had few unique alignments and were therefore characterized as representing a phylum.

454 metagenomic sequencing. To identify other bacterial members isolated from the ANG that might not have been detected with 16S clone libraries and to increase our sequencing depth, we analyzed bacterial diversity using 454-metagenomic analyses. Bacterial DNA was extracted from 3 ANGs as described above. The samples were pooled, and 500 ng was used to construct a 454-shotgun metagenomic library using a Rapid Library kit (Roche Applied Science, Basel Switzerland). After the small-volume (SV) emulsion PCR (emPCR) titration was performed, the library was used in two different sequencing runs with FLX Titanium chemistry (Roche Applied Science, Basel, Switzerland). After removing 454 artifacts by the use of a 454 replicate filter (15), 622,987 sequences with an average length of 389.68 bases (total = 242.77 Mb) were analyzed. Roughly 1% of the reads (6,350) were eukaryotic in origin and not used in our analyses.

For 16S analysis of 454 data, reads were annotated using the MG-RAST server (32). Using the algorithm available from the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP), reads with at least a 200-bp alignment to a known 16S gene were extracted and used to search the NCBI nucleotide database with BLAST. OTUs were assigned as described above.

FISH. To localize bacteria to the ANG, organs were dissected from six sexually mature female squid and prepared for fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH). Two were freshly collected and dissected in Hawaii; the other four were kept in our animal facility for 8 to 14 weeks prior to dissection. Time in captivity did not affect results (data not shown). Three ANGs were fixed with Carnoy’s solution (ethanol:chloroform:acetic acid = 6:3:1) overnight, and three were fixed in 1× PBS–4% paraformaldehyde for 4 h. Tissues were embedded in paraffin, and hybridization was performed as previously described (21). Three egg capsules were removed from freshly laid egg clutches, fixed in squid Ringer’s solution–4% paraformaldehyde for 4 h, and embedded in paraffin as described above.

Based on our 16S data, several ribosomal probes were used at 50 pmol/ml each for hybridization (Table 1). Probes that corresponded to species of Eubacteria, Alphaproteobacteria, the Roseobacter clade, or Cytophaga-Flavobacteria-Bacteroidetes (CFB) were designed on the basis of published data. Novel 16S probes for Verrucomicrobia and Phaeobacter species were confirmed with ProbeCheck (Table 1) (24) and fixed cultures of closely related members of genera of Rhodobacterales (e.g., Phaeobacter, Ruesergia, Tatyamaria, and Nautella for the Phaeobacter-specific probes; data not shown). All probes were synthesized by Eurofins MWG Operon (Huntsville, AL) and conjugated to fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC), Cy3, or Cy5. After an overnight hybridization at room temperature in formamide hybridization buffer (0.9 M NaCl, 20 mM Tris [pH 8], 0.01% sodium dodecyl sulfate [SDS]) (Table 1), the tissue was washed in hybridization buffer and then counterstained with 300 nM DAPI (4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) in 1× PBS for 5 min. The following negative controls were performed: no probe, a nonsense probe (complementary to the eubacterial probe Eub338), and competition with nonlabeled probes. Tissue sections were imaged on a Leica SP2 confocal microscope (Wetzlar, Germany) or a Zeiss Axiovert 200 M epifluorescence microscope (Carl Zeiss, Germany) using DAPI, FITC, Cy3, and Cy5 filter sets.

Accession numbers. 16S clone library sequences were deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) with accession numbers HE574851 to HE574928. Metagenomic reads were deposited in the NCBI Short Read Archive with accession numbers SRR329677.8 and SRR329678.5.

RESULTS

Morphological and EM observations. The ANG of E. scolopes (Fig. 1a) contains many convoluted tubules that are highly pigmented (Fig. 1b). While most tubules have a dark orange pigmentation, some appear white (Fig. 1c) or, more rarely, yellow (not shown). As with other ANGs, the bacteria within the tubules likely synthesize these pigments, as colonies isolated from the organ also appeared similarly pigmented when grown in culture (Fig. 1c) (6).

Light and electron microscopy of fixed sections of the ANG revealed that the organ is highly vascularized, with many blood vessels among tubules lined with ciliated epithelial cells and containing populations of bacteria. In some tubules, however, bacteria were not observed (Fig. 2A). Two morphologically distinct and segregated cell types were observed (Fig. 2B): a large coccoid bacterium (LCB) and a smaller bacillus bacterium (SBB). These two bacterial morphotypes appeared in separate tubules with strikingly different epithelia. One type of epithelium, associated with the SBB, appeared vacuole-rich (Fig. 2B and C), while the other associated with the LCB, had an electron-dense staining pattern lacking vacuoles (Fig. 2B and F). Within the tubules housing the bacteria were microvillar brush borders 1 to 5 μm in thickness along with membrane-bound vesicles that may be secreted or blebbed by the host (Fig. 2D). Some of the vacuole-rich epithelial cells had the distinct appearance of being secretory in nature, containing numerous large electron-light vacuoles and smaller electron-dense granules located at the apical surfaces of the epithelium (Fig. 2C). Hemocytes, the primary innate immune cells of E. scolopes, were observed in the lumina of the tubules; however, phagocytosed bacteria were not observed within these cells (Fig. 2E). Each tubule was dominated by one of the two morphologies: either the SBB (Fig. 2C and D) or the LCB (Fig. 2F). Mixtures of both LCB and SBB morphotypes were also observed outside the tubules within the connective tissue (Fig. 2G and H). The epithelial membranes appeared well-preserved, suggesting that these observations were not from a fixation artifact and that the bacteria can travel outside the ANG lumina. Hemocytes were also observed within the connective tissue (Fig. 2H), but as in the lumina of the tubules, no intracellular or phagocytosed bacteria were noted. Under higher magnification, the LCB cells appeared to be filled with many granules (Fig. 21). This was in stark contrast to SBB, which were either mostly electron dense (Fig. 2I) or contained large electron-light storage vacuoles which resembled polyhydroxybuturate (PHB) (19, 27) (Fig. 2K).

16S diversity. In order to identify members of the microbial community of the E. scolopes ANG, we constructed five 16S clone libraries from five sexually mature adult female squid. Sequences of 417 clones were binned by RFLP analysis. Of these, 96 full-length 16S sequences were analyzed and 25 identified chimeric sequences were removed. Analysis of these data showed that most (302/392; Table 1) clones belonged to the Alphaproteobacteria and...
that *Phaeobacter* was the most commonly observed genus (221/392). Other Alphaproteobacteria species belonged to genera within the Rhodobacterales, primarily of the Roseobacter clade (for example, *Ruegeria*, *Labrenzia*, and *Pseudoruegeria*). Twelve were from the genus *Kordiimonas*, and eight sequences were from the Rhizobiales. The next most common group of sequences (89/392) had greatest similarity to the sequences corresponding to members of the phylum Verrucomicrobia. These Verrucomicrobia sequences displayed only 90% identity to those from the Greengenes database, most likely due to the lack of characterized verrucomicrobial isolates. Only one sequence belonged to the Gammaproteobacteria and corresponded to the genus *Shewanella*.

The overall bacterial populations of ANGs from separate animals were similar, with two OTUs conserved across all five clone libraries, *Phaeobacter* and *Verrucomicrobia* (Table 2). Members of four other genera of the alphaproteobacteria (*Ruegeria*, *Kordiimonas*, *Cohaesibacter*, and *Nautella*) were conserved among the same four ANG libraries. Length of time spent in the mariculture facility did not seem to influence the microbial communities found in the ANG, as animals maintained for either 1 day (library ANG5) or 4 months (library ANG4) were found to have similar bacterial taxa (Table 2).

In addition to the RFLP and sequence data from the five clone libraries, 16S gene fragments from the 454 metagenome were also analyzed. A total of 532 genomic fragments with at least a 200-bp alignment to a reference 16S sequence in the RDP database were used for this analysis. The taxonomies of these 16S sequences were similar to those identified in the 16S libraries; species of Rhodobacterales, Rhizobiales, and Verrucomicrobia were dominant (Fig. 3). 72.55% of the 16S sequences belonged to the Alphaproteobacteria, and the most common genus was *Phaeobacter* (177/532). Members of the order Rhodobacterales, Rhizobiales, and Verrucomicrobia were dominant (Fig. 3). 72.55% of the 16S sequences belonged to the Alphaproteobacteria, and the most common genus was *Phaeobacter* (177/532). Members of the order Rhodobacterales, Rhizobiales, and Verrucomicrobia were dominant (Fig. 3). 72.55% of the 16S sequences belonged to the Alphaproteobacteria, and the most common genus was *Phaeobacter* (177/532). Members of the order Rhodobacterales, Rhizobiales, and Verrucomicrobia were dominant (Fig. 3). 72.55% of the 16S sequences belonged to the Alphaproteobacteria, and the most common genus was *Phaeobacter* (177/532). Members of the order Rhodobacterales, Rhizobiales, and Verrucomicrobia were dominant (Fig. 3). 72.55% of the 16S sequences belonged to the Alphaproteobacteria, and the most common genus was *Phaeobacter* (177/532). Members of the order Rhodobacterales, Rhizobiales, and Verrucomicrobia were dominant (Fig. 3). 72.55% of the 16S sequences belonged to the Alphaproteobacteria, and the most common genus was *Phaeobacter* (177/532). Members of the order Rhodobacterales, Rhizobiales, and Verrucomicrobia were dominant (Fig. 3). 72.55% of the 16S sequences belonged to the Alphaproteobacteria, and the most common genus was *Phaeobacter* (177/532). Members of the order Rhodobacterales, Rhizobiales, and Verrucomicrobia were dominant (Fig. 3).

**FISH.** Observations from electron microscopy suggested that different morphotypes (SBB or LCB) dominated individual tubules within the ANG (Fig. 2). To test whether this could have been due to different phylogenetic groups occupying separate tubules, fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (FISH) was used to visualize dominant bacterial taxa within the ANG. Ribosomal FISH revealed that most tubules within the ANG contained a specific...
bacterial group (Fig. 4). Staining with an FITC-labeled *Roseobacter* clade-specific probe and a cocktail of the eubacterial probes Eub338 and Eub338III (Table 1) showed that the *Roseobacter* clade probe hybridized to the majority of the bacteria of one tubule (Fig. 4a). Similarly, using both the *Verrucomicrobia* and *Alphaproteobacteria*-specific probes, tubules were dominated by only one of the two fluorescent signals (Fig. 4b), suggesting bacterial partitioning among the ANG tubules. The presence of members of the *Cytophaga-Flavobacteria*-Bacteroidetes (CFB) that were detected in our analyses. The dominance of *Rhodobacter* clade members in the ANG of *Euprymna scolopes* is similar to what has been described for other cephalopod ANGs, including those of other squid (3, 39) and cuttlefish (16), by the use of 16S clone sequencing. Like that of *Loligo pealei*, the ANG of *Euprymna* has a large *Alphaproteobacteria* contingent, comprising *Roseobacter* clade members as well as members of the marine *Rhizobiales*. The *E. scolopes* ANG also has a *Flavobacteria* contingent, similar to observations made using the egg casings of *L. pealei* (3).

The presence of *Verrucomicrobia* and the lack of *Gammaproteobacteria* make the consortium in *E. scolopes* strikingly different from the ANG consortia previously described for other cephalopods. Members of the *Verrucomicrobia* have been detected in relatively few host/microbe associations (38, 44, 47, 51), and the major presence of this group in the squid ANG represents a potentially novel symbiotic role for this phylum. Less than 1% of 16S genes from our clone libraries and from the 454 metagenome belonged to the *Gammaproteobacteria*. This is surprising for a number of reasons. In *L. pealei*, it has been estimated that 5% of the bacterial population of the ANG is made up of this group (3). Furthermore, *E. scolopes* has a binary association with the bioluminescent *Gammaproteobacterium Vibrio fischeri* (29, 30, 35). Given that the host expels $10^6$ to $10^9$ symbionts from its light organ as part of a daily rhythm (7, 36), the close proximity of the two organs, and that the ANG consortium is likely environmentally transmitted (see below), it is surprising that *V. fischeri* was not detected in our analyses.

Previous work has shown that the bacterial consortia within cephalopod ANGs are likely established by horizontal/environmental transmission (20). In that work, Kaufman et al. examined development of the ANG in *Loligo opalescens* and found that the organ develops 11 weeks after hatching and that colonization is likely due to horizontal/environmental transmission. This conclusion is also supported by the observation that the nearest relatives of ANG isolates from *L. pealei* are environmental strains (3). The ANG of *E. scolopes* is absent at hatching, and females tend to reach sexual maturity within 60 days (17). Therefore, horizontal transmission of the *E. scolopes* ANG consortium is also probable. Field-caught animals at different stages of development of the ANG symbiosis will be used for future analyses of both the organ and the microbial community. Current efforts are also under way to rear animals to sexual maturity in our laboratory.

The data presented here suggest that establishment and maintenance of the bacterial consortium may be an intricate process, as both electron microscopy and FISH analyses showed bacterial partitioning among the ANG tubules (Fig. 2 and 4). Electron microscopy revealed distinct morphotypes (LCB and SBB) prevalent in the lumina of cephalopod ANGs (3, 6). Electron micrographs from the cuttlefish *Sepia officinalis* show a coccolid bacterium with a morphology very similar to that of the granular, coccolid cells ob-

### TABLE 2 Operational taxonomic units within five ANG 16S clone libraries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phylotype</th>
<th>No. of OTUs</th>
<th>ANG1</th>
<th>ANG2</th>
<th>ANG3</th>
<th>ANG4</th>
<th>ANG5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alphaproteobacteria</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rhodobacterales</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Phaeobacter</em></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ruengeria</em></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Natella</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Labrenzia</em></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudorugeria</em></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Oceanicola</em></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Marinovum</em></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Salipiger</em></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rhizobiales</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cohaesibacter</em></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Mesorhizobium</em></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kordiimonadales</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Kordiimonas</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Verrucomicrobia</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Planctomycetaceae</em></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gammaproteobacteria</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Shewanella</em></td>
<td>69</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* A total of 392 clones were binned into taxonomic groups by RFLP analysis. The full-length 16S rRNA gene of 71 clones from ANG1, ANG2, and ANG3 was analyzed using the Greengenes database (11) after chimeric sequence removal (Materials and Methods).
served in the *E. scolopes* ANG (48). The data from this study suggest that the different bacterial morphotypes are different taxa occupying separate tubules. Bacterial morphology by itself is not a reliable taxonomic identifier; however, studies of the marine verrucomicrobiun *Coraliomargarita akajimensis* revealed a morphology similar to the LCB morphotype observed in this study (52). The two epithelial morphologies of the ANG tubules are very distinct from one another, suggesting that each tubule fosters a unique microenvironment optimized to contain a specific bacterial taxon or that specific bacteria influence development of different epithelia. The mechanism(s) for establishing and maintaining bacterial tubule dominance is not yet known, but these bacterial groups may be adapted to specific niches or microenvironments within the ANG. Alternatively different taxa may dominate specific tubules during colonization due to a founder effect.

Just as carbon and energy sources influence bacterial diversity in digestive tracts, nutrition may play a role in the segregation of the bacteria within the ANG. While members of the *Verrucomicrobia* have not been thoroughly described, many have been shown to degrade polysaccharides such as mucin in the human gut (10) or fucoidan in the gut of a sea cucumber (45). The genome of *Phaeobacter gallaeciensis* ANG1, a dominant member of the ANG consortium in *E. scolopes*, reveals that it has many pathways for energy and carbon assimilation; however, it lacks enzymes to degrade polysaccharides, including chitinases, amylases, agarases, and α-L-fucosidase (8). Therefore, the host may provide different nutrients in different tubules, thereby enriching for certain bacteria. The presence of PHB-like granules in some cells (Fig. 2K) could be explained by nutrient restriction, as PHB improves survivability during starvation and/or stress tolerance in other systems (27, 41).

There are other clues that can be gathered from the host as to how a microenvironment can be created to foster dominance of specific bacteria. The light organ symbiosis between *E. scolopes* and *V. fischeri* has been studied in detail for more than 20 years, and previous studies have shown that the host and symbiont work in concert to create a microenvironment that selects for *V. fischeri* to the exclusion of nonsymbiotic bacteria (30, 35, 50). The stark differences in epithelial tissues in the ANG suggest that unique microenvironments exist between tubules. In the light organ, hemocytes, representing the sole cellular component of the host’s innate immune system, have been implicated in establishing and maintaining specificity (22, 31, 36, 37). Hemocytes were also observed to infiltrate the lumina of the ANG tubules and were found to come in direct contact with the bacterial consortium (Fig. 2). Whether these hemocytes contribute to specificity in the ANG association remains to be determined, but we have isolated several ANG bacterial strains that are available to use in adhesion and phagocytosis assays. Future research should examine how components of the innate immune system as well as other host and symbiont factors may influence the development and maintenance of this association.
FIG 4 Fluorescent in situ hybridization of fixed ANG paraffin-embedded sections. (a) 16S FISH with FITC-conjugated Roseobacter (Ros.) probe (green) and CY3-conjugated eubacterial (Eub.) cocktail (red). (b) Cy3-conjugated Alphaproteobacteria (Alpha; red) and Cy5-conjugated Verrucomicrobia (Ver.; blue) probes were observed dominating separate tubules, suggesting specificity and/or segregation of the bacterial populations. Axes denote positions of “slices” through confocal sectioning. (c) 16S FISH with Cy3-conjugated Cytophaga-Flavobacteria-Bacteroidetes probe (CFB; red) and DAPI staining (blue). (d) Hybridization with an FITC-conjugated eubacterial cocktail (green) and a Cy3-conjugated Alphaproteobacteria probe (red) revealed a population of bacteria within the jelly capsule of a freshly laid egg. (e) Hybridization with a Cy3-conjugated Phaeobacter (Phaeo.) probe confirmed that many of the bacteria in the jelly capsule were Phaeobacter species. The exterior (ext.) and interior (int.) of the capsule are labeled. White arrowheads indicate tubules. Bars, 30 μm (a), 50 μm (b), and 20 μm (d and e).
Despite numerous studies that have characterized the bacterial communities within cephalopod ANGs, its function is still unknown. The ANG may provide antimicrobial or antiofounding compounds that protect the squid’s eggs throughout their development (4, 14). The genome of *Phaeobacter gallaeciensis* ANG1 revealed no classical antibiotic synthesis pathways (8), but future analyses should take into account the genomes of the other ANG members and possible uncharacterized pathways of novel antimicrobial compounds. Two other *P. gallaeciensis* strains have shown the ability to inhibit fungal, bacterial, and/or algal growth (40, 46), and future studies should test whether removing the ANG consortia from female squid and/or their egg clutches influences fecundity and egg development. Currently, we have 14 other *E. scolopes* ANG rhodobacterial isolates in culture, and characterizing these strains in greater detail should shed light on the function of the ANG.

The female members of many squid species found worldwide harbor a consortium of bacteria within their ANG. Surprisingly, much of the composition of the microbial communities is the same (e.g., the dominance of *Rhodobacterales*), even though these hosts are found in very different environments with different physical and biological parameters (e.g., salinity, temperature, predators, and life histories). This trend suggests that these microbial consortia play similar roles in their squid hosts. Future experiments can utilize high-throughput sequencing techniques to reveal gene expression of the bacteria in the ANG and within the egg capsule. The results of this study lay the foundation for the development of *E. scolopes* as a model for studying a consortial symbiosis (ANG) and a binary symbiosis (light organ) in the same host.
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